arXiv:1201.0403v1 [math.CA] 2 Jan 2012

Estimates in Beurling–Helson type theorems. Multidimensional case[1]

Vladimir Lebedev

Abstract. We consider the spaces $A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)$ of functions f on the m -dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^m$ such that the sequence of the Fourier coefficients $\widehat{f}=\{\widehat{f}(k),\ k\in\mathbb{Z}^m\}$ belongs to $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^m),\ 1\leq p<2$. The norm on $A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)$ is defined by $\|f\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)}=\|\widehat{f}\|_{l^p(\mathbb{Z}^m)}$. We study the rate of growth of the norms $\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)}$ as $|\lambda|\to\infty,\ \lambda\in\mathbb{R}$, for C^1 -smooth real functions φ on $\mathbb{T}^m$ (the one-dimensional case was investigated by the author earlier). The lower estimates that we obtain have direct analogues for the spaces $A_p(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

References: 15 items.

Keywords: Fourier series, Beurling–Helson theorem.

AMS 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 42B05, 42B35

Introduction

Given any integrable function f on the m -dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^m=\mathbb{R}^m/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^m,\ m\geq 1$ (where $\mathbb{R}$ is the real line, $\mathbb{Z}$ is the set of integers) consider its Fourier coefficients:

$$\widehat{f}(k)=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^m}\int_{\mathbb{T}^m}f(t)e^{-i(k,t)}dt,\qquad k\in\mathbb{Z}^m.$$

Let $A_1(\mathbb{T}^m)$ be the space of continuous functions f on $\mathbb{T}^m$ such that the sequence of Fourier coefficients $\widehat{f}=\{\widehat{f}(k),\ k\in\mathbb{Z}^m\}$ belongs to $l^1(\mathbb{Z}^m)$. For $1<p\leq 2$ let $A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)$ be the space of integrable functions f on $\mathbb{T}^m$ such that $\widehat{f}\in l^p(\mathbb{Z}^m)$. Provided with the natural norms

$$\|f\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)}=\|\widehat{f}\|_{l^p(\mathbb{Z}^m)}=\Bigg(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^m}|\widehat{f}(k)|^p\Bigg)^{1/p}$$

the spaces A_p are Banach spaces ($1\leq p\leq 2$). The space $A=A_1$ is a Banach algebra (with the usual multiplication of functions).

---

[1] This is the author's preprint of the paper published in *Mathematical Notes*, **90**:3, (2011), 373-384. The text below may slightly vary from its finally published version.

According to the Beurling–Helson theorem [1] (see also [2]), if φ is a map of the circle $\mathbb{T}$ into itself such that $\|e^{in\varphi}\|_{A(\mathbb{T})} = O(1),\ n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then φ is linear (with integer tangent coefficient), i.e. $\varphi(t) = kt + \varphi(0),\ k \in \mathbb{Z}$. A similar statement holds for the maps $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^m \to \mathbb{T}$. This case easily reduces to the one-dimensional case.

Let $C^\nu(\mathbb{T}^m)$ be the class of (complex-valued) functions on the torus $\mathbb{T}^m$ such that all partial derivatives of order ν are continuous.

In the present paper we study the growth of the norms $\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)}$ as $\lambda \to \infty,\ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, for C^1 -smooth real functions φ on $\mathbb{T}^m$. In the one-dimensional case we studied this question in [3]. The same paper contains a survey on the subject.

It is easy to show that for every C^1 -smooth (real) function φ on the circle $\mathbb{T}$ we have [2]

$$\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T})} = O(|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}}), \qquad |\lambda| \to \infty, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1}$$

for all $p,\ 1 \le p < 2$.

On the other hand we have the Leibenson–Kahane–Alpár estimate ([4], [5], [2], [6]): if $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{T})$ is a nonconstant (which is equivalent, due to periodicity, to it being nonlinear) real function, then

$$\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T})} \ge c_p|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2}$$

for all $1 \le p < 2$.

Thus, for every C^2 -smooth nonlinear real function φ on $\mathbb{T}$ we have [3]

$$\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T})} \simeq |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3}$$

In particular $\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A(\mathbb{T})} \simeq |\lambda|^{1/2}$.

The case of C^1 -smooth phase φ is essentially different from the C^2 -smooth case. As we showed in [3] (and earlier in [7]), for $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{T})$ the norms $\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A(\mathbb{T})}$ can grow rather slowly, namely, one can closely approach the $O(\log|\lambda|)$ -condition. If $p > 1$ the corresponding norms can even be bounded.

---

[2] Actually estimate (1) holds even in the case when φ is absolutely continuous with derivative in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ and, in particular, when φ satisfies the Lipschitz condition of order 1 (see [2, Ch. VI, § 3] for $p = 1$; for $1 < p < 2$ the estimate follows immediately by interpolation between l^1 and l^2).

[3] We write $a(\lambda) \simeq b(\lambda)$ in the case when $c_1 \le a(\lambda)/b(\lambda) \le c_2$ for all sufficiently large $|\lambda|$ (with constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ independent of λ).

We note that the proof of the Leibenson–Kahane–Alpár estimate (2) is based on the van der Corput lemma and essentially uses nondegeneration of the curvature of a certain arc of the graph of φ. This approach does not allow to consider the functions of smoothness less then C^2.

In the multidimensional case for the phase functions φ of smoothness C^2 (and higher) the behavior of the norms $\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_A$ was considered by Hedstrom [8]. As in the one-dimensional case it is easy to get an upper estimate; for instance, [4] if $\varphi \in C^\nu(\mathbb{T}^m),\ \nu > m/2,\ m \geq 2$, then $\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A(\mathbb{T}^m)} = O(|\lambda|^{m/2})$ (in [8] this estimate is obtained under somewhat different assumptions on smoothness). The same work [8] contains the following lower estimate: if $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{T}^m)$ is a real function such that the determinant of the matrix of its second derivatives is not identically equal to zero, then

$$\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A(\mathbb{T}^m)} \geq c|\lambda|^{m/2}. \tag{4}$$

This is proved by reduction to the one-dimensional case.

In § 1 we obtain Theorem 1, which is the main result of the present paper. In this theorem we give lower estimates for the norms $\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)}$ for C^1 -smooth real functions φ on the torus $\mathbb{T}^m$. We assume that the range $\nabla\varphi(\mathbb{T}^m)$ of the gradient $\nabla\varphi$ of φ has positive (Lebesgue) measure; in this case we say that the gradient of φ is nondegenerate. The proof of the theorem is based on the natural modification of the method that we used earlier for the one-dimensional case in [3]. It can be called the concentration of Fourier transform large values method. We also obtain local versions of the lower estimates in the spaces $A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)$ (Theorem 1$'$) and $A_p(\mathbb{R}^m)$ (Theorem 1$''$), where $A_p(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is the space of functions f on $\mathbb{R}^m$ such that the Fourier transform $\widehat{f}$ belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

We note that in the one-dimensional case we have the obvious inclusion $C^1(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq A(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq A_p(\mathbb{T})$. At the same time for the torus of dimension $m \geq 3$ the condition of C^1 -smoothness does not guarantee that a function belongs to all classes $A_p, 1 \leq p < 2$. (Smoothness conditions that depend on dimension and imply that a function belongs to the classes $A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)$ are well known, see § 3). This is why we naturaly consider the lower estimates of the norms $\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)}$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda(\varphi, p)$, where for a function φ on $\mathbb{T}^m$ the set $\Lambda(\varphi, p)$ is the set of all those $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ for which $e^{i\lambda\varphi} \in A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)$.

---

[4] To ensure this it suffices to repeat with obvious modifications the arguments used for $m = 1$ in [2, Ch. VI, § 3].

Here, in Introduction, we note only the corollaries of Theorem 1. Let $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{T}^m)$ be a real function such that its gradient is nondegenerate and satisfies the Lipschitz condition of order α, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$; then (Corollary 1) for $1 \leq p < 1 + \alpha$ we have

$$\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)} \geq c_p |\lambda|^{m\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{1+\alpha}\right)}, \qquad \lambda \in \Lambda(\varphi, p).$$

In particular (Corollary 2), we see that if the gradient of a phase function φ is nondegenerate and satisfies the Lipschitz condition of order 1, then

$$\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)} \geq c_p |\lambda|^{m\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right)}, \qquad \lambda \in \Lambda(\varphi, p). \tag{5}$$

Putting here $p = 1$ we see that every such function φ satisfies (4) for all $\lambda \in \Lambda(\varphi, 1)$.

In § 2 for each class $C^{1,\omega}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ (see the definition of the classes $C^{1,\omega}$ at the end of the introduction) we construct a real function $\varphi \in C^{1,\omega}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ such that it has nondegenerate gradient and the norms $\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)}$ grow very slowly. (For the one-dimensional case we did this in [3]. The general case can be easily deduced from the one-dimensional case.) Thus we show (see Theorem 2 and Corollaries 3 and 4) that the lower estimates obtained in § 1 are close to being sharp and in certain cases are sharp.

In § 3 using quite standard methods we obtain the multidimensional version of estimate (1), namely (Theorem 3): if φ is a sufficiently smooth (depending on dimension) real function on $\mathbb{T}^m$, then $\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)} = O(|\lambda|^{m(1/p-1/2)})$. Hence taking our estimate (5) into account, we obtain the multidimensional version of relation (3), namely (Theorem 4): if φ is sufficiently smooth and its gradient is nondegenerate, then

$$\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)} \simeq |\lambda|^{m(1/p-1/2)},$$

in particular $\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A(\mathbb{T}^m)} \simeq |\lambda|^{m/2}$.

We use the following notation. Let V be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^m$ and let g be a function on V. We define the modulus of continuity of g by

$$\omega(V, g, \delta) = \sup_{\substack{|t_1 - t_2| \leq \delta \\ t_1, t_2 \in V}} |g(t_1) - g(t_2)|, \qquad \delta \geq 0,$$

where $|x|$ is the length of a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$. If $V = \mathbb{R}^m$, then we just write $\omega(g, \delta)$. Let ω be a given continuous nondecreasing function on $[0, +\infty)$, $\omega(0) =$

0. The class $\mathrm{Lip}_\omega(V)$ consists of functions g on V satisfying $\omega(V,g,\delta) = O(\omega(\delta)),\ \delta \to +0$. The class $C^{1,\omega}(V)$ consists of functions f on V such that all derivatives of the first order $\partial f/\partial t_j,\ j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, belong to $\mathrm{Lip}_\omega(V)$. Certainly for a (real) function φ on V the condition $\varphi \in C^{1,\omega}(V)$ means that $\omega(V, \nabla\varphi, \delta) = O(\omega(\delta)),\ \delta \to +0$, where

$$\omega(V, \nabla\varphi, \delta) = \sup_{\substack{|t_1-t_2|\le\delta \\ t_1,t_2\in V}} |\nabla\varphi(t_1) - \nabla\varphi(t_2)|, \qquad \delta \ge 0,$$

is the modulus of continuity of the gradient $\nabla\varphi$ of φ. The class $C^{1,\omega}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ consists of functions that are 2π -periodic with respect to each variable and belong to $C^{1,\omega}(\mathbb{R}^m)$. For $0 < \alpha \le 1$ we write $C^{1,\alpha}$ instead of C^{1,δ^α}. Generally, for an arbitrary $\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $0 < \alpha \le 1$ let $C^{\nu,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ be the class of functions f on $\mathbb{T}^m$ such that f is ν times differentiable and all partial derivatives of order ν of f (for $\nu = 0$ the function f itself) satisfy the Lipschitz condition of order α (i.e. belong to $\mathrm{Lip}_{\delta^\alpha}$). It is convenient to put $C^{\nu,0} = C^\nu$. For an arbitrary measurable set E in $\mathbb{T}^m$ or in $\mathbb{R}^m$ by $|E|$ we denote its Lebesgue measure. By (x, y) we denote the usual inner product of vectors x and y in $\mathbb{R}^m$ (or of vectors $x \in \mathbb{Z}^m,\ y \in \mathbb{T}^m$). If W is a set in $\mathbb{R}^m$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then we put $\lambda W = \{\lambda x : x \in W\}$. In the usual way we identify integrable functions on the torus $\mathbb{T}^m$ with integrable functions on the cube $[0, 2\pi]^m$. By $c, c_p, c(p,\varphi), c_m$, etc. we denote various positive constants which may depend only on p, φ and the dimension m.

§ 1. Lower estimates

As we indicated in Introduction, given a function φ on the torus $\mathbb{T}^m$ we denote by $\Lambda(\varphi, p)$ the set of all those $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ for which $e^{i\lambda\varphi} \in A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)$.

Theorem 1. *Let $1 \le p < 2$. Let $\varphi \in C^{1,\omega}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ be a real function. Suppose that the gradient $\nabla\varphi$ is nondegenerate that is the set $\nabla\varphi(\mathbb{T}^m)$ is of positive measure. Then*

$$\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)} \ge c\bigg(|\lambda|^{1/p}\chi^{-1}\Big(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\Big)\bigg)^m, \qquad \lambda \in \Lambda(\varphi, p), \quad |\lambda| \ge 1,$$

where χ^{-1} is the function inverse to $\chi(\delta) = \delta\omega(\delta)$ and $c = c(p, \varphi) > 0$ is independent of λ.

In the one-dimensional case we obtained this theorem in [3]. (For each C^1 -smooth function φ on $\mathbb{T}$ we have $\Lambda(\varphi, p) = \mathbb{R}$ for all $p \geq 1$. Nondegeneration of the gradient in the one-dimensional case means nonlinearity of φ which due to periodicity is equivalent to the condition that $\varphi \neq \text{const.}$)

Theorem 1 immediately implies the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Let $\varphi \in C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ be a real function with nondegenerate gradient. Then for all p, $1 \leq p < 1 + \alpha$, we have*

$$\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)} \geq c_p|\lambda|^{m\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{1+\alpha}\right)}, \qquad \lambda \in \Lambda(\varphi, p).$$

In particular $\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A(\mathbb{T}^m)} \geq c|\lambda|^{\frac{m\alpha}{1+\alpha}}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda(\varphi, 1)$.

We especially note the case of a C^2 -smooth phase and even the more general case of a $C^{1,1}$ -smooth phase.

Corollary 2. *Let $\varphi \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ be a real function with nondegenerate gradient. Then for all p, $1 \leq p < 2$, we have*

$$\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)} \geq c_p|\lambda|^{m\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right)}, \qquad \lambda \in \Lambda(\varphi, p).$$

In particular $\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A(\mathbb{T}^m)} \geq c|\lambda|^{m/2}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda(\varphi, 1)$.

We shall see that a local version of Theorem 1 also holds. Let E be an arbitrary set contained in $[0, 2\pi]^m$ or, more generally, contained in some cube with edges of length 2π parallel to coordinate axes. We say that a function f defined on E belongs to $A_p(\mathbb{T}^m, E)$ if there exists a function $F \in A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)$ such that its restriction $F_{|E}$ to the set E coincides with f. We put

$$\|f\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m, E)} = \inf_{F_{|E}=f} \|F\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)}.$$

As in Theorem 1, everywhere below χ^{-1} is the function inverse to $\chi(\delta) = \delta\omega(\delta)$.

Theorem 1′. *Let $1 \leq p < 2$. Let V be a domain in $[0, 2\pi]^m$. Let $\varphi \in C^{1,\omega}(V)$ be a real function. Suppose that the gradient $\nabla\varphi$ is nondegenerate* *on V, that is, the set $\nabla\varphi(V)$ is of positive measure. Then*

$$\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m,V)} \geq c\biggl(|\lambda|^{1/p}\chi^{-1}\Bigl(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\Bigr)\biggr)^m$$

for all those $\lambda \in \mathbb{R},\ |\lambda| \geq 1$, for which $e^{i\lambda\varphi} \in A_p(\mathbb{T}^m, V)$.

The local version of Corollary 1 (as well as of Corollary 2) is obvious.

Technically it will be convenient to deal with the spaces A_p in nonperiodic case. (The reason for this is that in distinction with the one-dimensional case, for a C^1 -smooth function of several variables the range of its gradient can be very complicated. [5])

Let $A_p(\mathbb{R}^m)$, where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, be the space of tempered distributions f on $\mathbb{R}^m$ such that the Fourier transform $\widehat{f}$ belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^m)$. We put

$$\|f\|_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^m)} = \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^m)} = \biggl(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\widehat{f}(u)|^p du\biggr)^{1/p}.$$

For $1 \leq p \leq 2$ each distribution that belongs to A_p is actually a function in $L^q,\ 1/p + 1/q = 1$. For $p = 1$ we naturally assume that f is continuous.[6]

We choose the normalization factor of the Fourier transform so that

$$\widehat{f}(u) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^m}\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(t)e^{-i(u,t)}dt, \qquad u \in \mathbb{R}^m,$$

for $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

We often write A instead of A_1.

Let us define the local spaces A_p in nonperiodic case.

Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ be an arbitrary set. We say that a function f defined on E belongs to $A_p(\mathbb{R}^m, E)$ if there exists a function $F \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^m)$ such that its restriction $F_{|E}$ to the set E coincides with f. The norm on $A_p(\mathbb{R}^m, E)$ is defined in the natural way:

$$\|f\|_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^m,E)} = \inf_{F_{|E}=f} \|F\|_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^m)}.$$

---

[5] In this connection we note the following question. Let V be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^m$ and let $\varphi \in C^1(V)$ be a real function. Suppose that the set $\nabla\varphi(V)$ is of positive measure. Is it true then that this set has nonempty interior? For $m = 2$ the answer to this question is positive [9]. For $m \geq 3$ the answer is unknown.

[6] We note that in [1] the notation A stands for the space of (inverse) Fourier transforms of measures on $\mathbb{R}$. We follow the notation which is common nowadays (see e.g. [2]).

Theorem $1''$**.** *Let* $1 \le p < 2$*. Let* V *be a domain in* $\mathbb{R}^m$*. Let* $\varphi \in C^{1,\omega}(V)$ *be a real function on* V*. Suppose that the gradient* $\nabla\varphi$ *is nondegenerate on* V *that is the set* $\nabla\varphi(V)$ *is of positive measure. Then*

$$\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^m,V)} \ge c\biggl(|\lambda|^{1/p}\chi^{-1}\Bigl(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\Bigr)\biggr)^m$$

for all those $\lambda \in \mathbb{R},\ |\lambda| \ge 1,$ *for which* $e^{i\lambda\varphi} \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^m, V)$*.*

Theorem 1, which is the main result of this section, follows immediately from Theorem $1'$. Let us show that in turn Theorem $1'$ follows from Theorem $1''$; then we shall prove Theorem $1''$ itself.

Fix $p,\ 1 \le p < 2$. For each λ such that $e^{i\lambda\varphi} \in A_p(\mathbb{T}^m, V)$ consider an arbitrary 2π -periodic (with respect to each variable) extension $F_\lambda \in A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)$ of the function $e^{i\lambda\varphi}$ from V to $\mathbb{R}^m$.

Under the assumptions of Theorem $1'$ we can find a cube $I \subseteq V$ with edges parallel to the coordinate axes such that together with its closure it is contained in the interior of the cube $[0, 2\pi]^m$ and the gradient $\nabla\varphi$ is nondegenerate on I. Let χ be an infinitely differentiable function on $\mathbb{R}^m$ equal to 1 on I and equal to 0 on the compliment $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus [0, 2\pi]^m$. We have $\chi \in A(\mathbb{R}^m)$. Put $c_0 = \|\chi\|_{A(\mathbb{R}^m)}$. For each $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ define the function e_u on $\mathbb{R}^m$ by $e_u(t) = e^{i(u,t)},\ t \in \mathbb{R}^m$. We have $\|e_u\chi\|_{A(\mathbb{R}^m)} = c_0$.

For an arbitrary function h on $\mathbb{R}^m$ that vanishes outside of the cube $[0, 2\pi]^m$ let $\widetilde{h}$ be its 2π -periodic with respect to each variable extension from $[0, 2\pi]^m$ to $\mathbb{R}^m$. It is well known (see e.g. [10, § 50]) that if $h \in A(\mathbb{R}^m)$ then $\widetilde{h} \in A(\mathbb{T}^m)$ and $\|\widetilde{h}\|_{A(\mathbb{T}^m)} \le c\|h\|_{A(\mathbb{R}^m)}$.

We put $g_u = \widetilde{e_u\chi}$. For each $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ we have $\|g_u\|_{A(\mathbb{T}^m)} \le c_1$ whence $\|g_uF_\lambda\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)} \le c_1\|F_\lambda\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)}$. It is also clear that $\|g_uF_\lambda\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)}$ is a measurable function of u.

The function χF_λ coincides with $e^{i\lambda\varphi}$ on I. Thus,

$$\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|^p_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^m,I)} \le \|\chi F_\lambda\|^p_{A_p(\mathbb{R})} = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^m}\int_{[0,1]^m} |\widehat{\chi F_\lambda}(u+k)|^p du$$

$$= \int_{[0,1]^m}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^m} |\widehat{e_{-u}\chi F_\lambda}(k)|^p du = \int_{[0,1]^m} \|g_{-u}F_\lambda\|^p_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)} du \le c_1\|F_\lambda\|^p_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)}.$$

It remains to use Theorem $1''$.

Proof of Theorem $1''$. We can find a closed cube $I \subseteq V$ with edges parallel to the coordinate axes such that its image $W = \nabla\varphi(I)$ is of positive measure. The set W is bounded.

Fix $c > 0$ so that

$$\omega(I, \nabla\varphi, \delta) \leq c\omega(\delta), \qquad \delta \geq 0.$$

For each $\lambda > 0$ choose $\delta_\lambda > 0$ so that

$$\chi(m^{1/2} 2\delta_\lambda) = \frac{1}{2c\lambda}. \tag{6}$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$ let Δ_ε mean the "triangle" function supported on the interval $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, that is the function on $\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\Delta_\varepsilon(t) = \max\Big(1 - \frac{|t|}{\varepsilon},\ 0\Big), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and for an arbitrary interval $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ let Δ_J be the triangle function supported on J that is $\Delta_J(t) = \Delta_{|J|/2}(t - c_J)$, where c_J is the center of the interval J (and $|J|$ is its length). Let then J be a cube in $\mathbb{R}^m$ with edges parallel to coordinate axes, $J = J_1 \times J_2 \times \ldots \times J_m$. We define the triangle function Δ_J supported on J as follows

$$\Delta_J(t) = \Delta_{J_1}(t_1)\Delta_{J_2}(t_2)\ldots\Delta_{J_m}(t_m), \qquad t = (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

We shall use the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $\lambda > 0$ be sufficiently large. Let F_λ be an arbitrary function on $\mathbb{R}^m$ that coincides with $e^{i\lambda\varphi}$ on V. Then for each $u \in \lambda W$ there exists a cube $I_{\lambda,u} \subseteq I$ with edges of length $2\delta_\lambda$ parallel to coordinate axes, such that*

$$|(\Delta_{I_{\lambda,u}} F_\lambda)^\wedge(u)| \geq c_m \delta_\lambda^m,$$

where $c_m > 0$ depends only on the dimension m.

Proof. Denote by a the length of the edge of the cube I. We shall assume that $\lambda > 0$ is so large that

$$2\delta_\lambda < a. \tag{7}$$

Take an arbitrary $u \in \lambda W$. We can find a point $t_{\lambda,u} \in I$ such that $\nabla\varphi(t_{\lambda,u}) = \lambda^{-1}u$. Let $I_{\lambda,u} \subseteq I$ be a cube (a closed one if necessary) with edges of length $2\delta_\lambda$ parallel to coordinate axes such that it contains the point $t_{\lambda,u}$ (see (7)). Consider the following linear function:

$$\varphi_{\lambda,u}(t) = \varphi(t_{\lambda,u}) + (\lambda^{-1}u,\ t - t_{\lambda,u}), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

If $t \in I_{\lambda,u}$ then for some point $\theta \in I_{\lambda,u}$ we shall have

$$\varphi(t) - \varphi(t_{\lambda,u}) = (\nabla\varphi(\theta),\ t - t_{\lambda,u})$$

(θ lies on the strait segment that joins t with $t_{\lambda,u}$) and therefore

$$|\varphi(t) - \varphi_{\lambda,u}(t)| = |\varphi(t) - \varphi(t_{\lambda,u}) - (\nabla\varphi(t_{\lambda,u}),\ t - t_{\lambda,u})|$$

$$= |(\nabla\varphi(\theta) - \nabla\varphi(t_{\lambda,u}),\ t - t_{\lambda,u})| \le |\nabla\varphi(\theta) - \nabla\varphi(t_{\lambda,u})||t - t_{\lambda,u}|$$
$$\le \omega(I, \nabla\varphi, m^{1/2}2\delta_\lambda)m^{1/2}2\delta_\lambda \le c\chi(m^{1/2}2\delta_\lambda).$$

Hence, taking into account (6), we see that

$$|e^{i\lambda\varphi(t)} - e^{i\lambda\varphi_{\lambda,u}(t)}| \le |\lambda\varphi(t) - \lambda\varphi_{\lambda,u}(t)| \le \lambda c\chi(m^{1/2}2\delta_\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}, \qquad t \in I_{\lambda,u}.$$

Using this estimate we obtain

$$|(\Delta_{I_{\lambda,u}}F_\lambda)^\wedge(u) - (\Delta_{I_{\lambda,u}}e^{i\lambda\varphi_{\lambda,u}})^\wedge(u)| \le \frac{1}{(2\pi)^m}\int_{I_{\lambda,u}} \Delta_{I_{\lambda,u}}(t)|e^{i\lambda\varphi(t)} - e^{i\lambda\varphi_{\lambda,u}(t)}|dt$$

$$\le \frac{1}{2}\cdot\frac{1}{(2\pi)^m}\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \Delta_{I_{\lambda,u}}(t)dt = \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\Delta_{I_{\lambda,u}}}(0).$$

At the same time

$$|(\Delta_{I_{\lambda,u}}e^{i\lambda\varphi_{\lambda,u}})^\wedge(u)| = \left|\frac{1}{(2\pi)^m}\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \Delta_{I_{\lambda,u}}(t)e^{i(\lambda\varphi_{\lambda,u}(t) - (u,t))}dt\right| = \widehat{\Delta_{I_{\lambda,u}}}(0).$$

Thus

$$|(\Delta_{I_{\lambda,u}}F_\lambda)^\wedge(u)| \ge \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\Delta_{I_{\lambda,u}}}(0) = c_m\delta_\lambda^m.$$

The lemma is proved.

Fix $p,\ 1 \leq p < 2$. Everywhere below we assume that the frequencies λ are such that $e^{i\lambda\varphi} \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^m, V)$. We can assume that the set of these λ is unbounded, otherwise there is nothing to prove. We can also assume that these frequencies λ are positive (the complex conjugation does not affect the norm of a function in A_p). For every such λ let F_λ be an extension of the function $e^{i\lambda\varphi}$ from V to $\mathbb{R}^m$ satisfying

$$\|F_\lambda\|_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^m)} \leq 2\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^m,V)}. \tag{8}$$

Let $I_{\lambda,u}$ be the corresponding cubes whose existence (for all sufficiently large λ) is established in Lemma 1.

Define functions g_λ by

$$g_\lambda = (|(F_\lambda)^\wedge|)^\vee,$$

where $^\vee$ means the inverse Fourier transform. We have $g_\lambda \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

It is well known that the function Δ_ε belongs to $A(\mathbb{R})$ and has nonnegative Fourier transform. Hence $\widehat{\Delta_{(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)^m}} \geq 0$ and since for an arbitrary cube $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ with edges of length 2ε parallel to coordinate axes the function Δ_J is obtained from $\Delta_{(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)^m}$ by shift, we have $|\widehat{\Delta_J}| = \widehat{\Delta_{(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)^m}}$. Therefore ($*$ means convolution),

$$|(\Delta_{I_{\lambda,u}}F_\lambda)^\wedge(u)| = |(\Delta_{I_{\lambda,u}})^\wedge * (F_\lambda)^\wedge(u)| \leq |(\Delta_{I_{\lambda,u}})^\wedge| * |(F_\lambda)^\wedge|(u) =$$

$$= (\Delta_{(-\delta_\lambda,\ \delta_\lambda)^m})^\wedge * \widehat{g_\lambda}(u) = (\Delta_{(-\delta_\lambda,\ \delta_\lambda)^m}\ g_\lambda)^\wedge(u)$$

for almos all $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$. (We used the standard facts on the convolution of functions in L^1 with functions in L^p, see e.g. [11, Ch. I, § 2].)

Thus, according to Lemma 1, we see that if $\lambda > 0$ is sufficiently large, then for almost all $u \in \lambda W$ we have

$$c_m\delta_\lambda^m \leq (\Delta_{(-\delta_\lambda,\ \delta_\lambda)^m}\ g_\lambda)^\wedge(u). \tag{9}$$

Since $\|\Delta_{(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)^m}\|_{A(\mathbb{R}^m)} = \Delta_{(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)^m}(0) = 1$, it follows that for every function $f \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\|\Delta_{(-\epsilon,\epsilon)^m}f\|_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^m)} \leq \|f\|_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^m)}.$$

So, raising inequality (9) to the power p and integrating over $u \in \lambda W$, we see that

$$(c_m^p\delta_\lambda^{mp}|\lambda W|)^{1/p} \leq \left(\int_{\lambda W} |(\Delta_{(-\delta_\lambda,\ \delta_\lambda)^m}g_\lambda)^\wedge(u)|^p du\right)^{1/p}$$

$$\leq \|\Delta_{(-\delta_\lambda,\ \delta_\lambda)^m}\ g_\lambda\|_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^m)} \leq \|g_\lambda\|_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^m)} = \|F_\lambda\|_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^m)}$$

for all sufficiently large λ.

Hence, taking (8) into account we obtain

$$c_m \delta_\lambda^m \lambda^{m/p} |W|^{1/p} \leq 2\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^m, V)}.$$

It remains only to note that condition (6) implies $\delta_\lambda \geq c\chi^{-1}(1/\lambda)$. The theorem is proved.

Remark. Let D be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$. Consider its characteristic function 1_D, i.e., the function that takes value $1_D(t) = 1$ for $t \in D$ and value $1_D(t) = 0$ for $t \notin D$. One can show that if the boundary ∂D of a domain D is C^2 -smooth, then $1_D \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p > \frac{2n}{n+1}$ and $1_D \notin A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p \leq \frac{2n}{n+1}$. At the same time in the more general case of domains with C^1 -smooth boundary the Fourier transform of the characteristic function may behave in an essentially different way. The author constructed a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with C^1 -smooth boundary such that $1_D \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for all $p > 1$. Theorem 1″ plays the key role in the study of the question for which domains $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with C^1 -smooth boundary we have inclusion $1_D \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Our results will be presented in another publication.

§ 2. Slow growth of $\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)}$

In the work [3] for each given class $C^{1,\omega}(\mathbb{T})$ (under certain simple assumption imposed on ω) we constructed a nontrivial real function $\varphi \in C^{1,\omega}(\mathbb{T})$ with slow growth of the norms $\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T})}$. Namely, put (as above χ^{-1} is the function inverse to $\chi(\delta) = \delta\omega(\delta)$)

$$\Theta_1(y) = \frac{y}{\log y}\chi^{-1}\left(\frac{(\log y)^2}{y}\right)$$

and for $1 < p < 2$ put

$$\Theta_p(y) = \left(\int_1^y \left(\chi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right)\right)^p d\tau\right)^{1/p}.$$

Let ω satisfies condition $\omega(2\delta) < 2\omega(\delta)$ for all sufficiently small $\delta > 0$. Then there exists a real nowhere linear, i.e. not linear on any interval, function $\varphi \in C^{1,\omega}(\mathbb{T})$ such that for all $p,\ 1 \le p < 2$, we have $\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T})} = O(\Theta_p(|\lambda|)),\ |\lambda| \to \infty,\ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

This result on the slow growth easily transfers to the case of a torus of an arbitrary dimension. Let us say that the gradient of a function φ is nowhere degenerate if it is nondegenerate on any open set, that is if for every open set $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ we have $|\nabla\varphi(V)| > 0$.

Theorem 2. *Let* $\omega(2\delta) < 2\omega(\delta)$ *for all sufficiently small* $\delta > 0$. *There exists a real function* $\varphi \in C^{1,\omega}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ *with nowhere degenerate gradient such that for all* $p,\ 1 \le p < 2$, *we have*

$$\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)} = O((\Theta_p(|\lambda|))^m), \qquad |\lambda| \to \infty, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The multidimensional version follows from the one-dimensional. Indeed, if $\varphi_0 \in C^{1,\omega}(\mathbb{T})$ is a real nowhere linear function, then, taking

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi_0(t_1) + \varphi_0(t_2) + \ldots + \varphi_0(t_m), \qquad t = (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m) \in \mathbb{T}^m,$$

we have a function $\varphi \in C^{1,\omega}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ with nowhere degenerate gradient and it remains only to note that $\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)} = (\|e^{i\lambda\varphi_0}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T})})^m$.

In the same manner (or directly from Theorem 2, taking Corollary 1 into account) we obtain the corollaries below, which are the multidimensional versions of Corollaries 2, 3 from our paper [3].

Corollary 3. *Let* $0 < \alpha < 1$. *There exists a real function* $\varphi \in C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ *with nowhere degenerate gradient such that*

(i) $$\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A(\mathbb{T}^m)} = O(|\lambda|^{\frac{m\alpha}{1+\alpha}}(\log|\lambda|)^{\frac{m(1-\alpha)}{1+\alpha}});$$

(ii) $$\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)} \simeq |\lambda|^{m\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{1+\alpha}\right)} \qquad \text{for} \quad 1 < p < 1+\alpha,$$

$$\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)} \simeq 1 \qquad \text{for} \quad 1+\alpha < p < 2,$$

$$\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)} = O((\log|\lambda|)^{m/p}) \qquad \text{for} \quad p = 1+\alpha.$$

In particular, we see that for $1 < p < 1+\alpha$ the estimate in Corollary 1 of the present paper is sharp.

Corollary 4. *Let* $\gamma(\lambda) \geq 0$ *and* $\gamma(\lambda) \to +\infty$ *as* $\lambda \to +\infty$. *There exists a real function* $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{T}^m)$ *with nowhere degenerate gradient such that*

$$\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A(\mathbb{T}^m)} = O(\gamma(|\lambda|)(\log|\lambda|)^m).$$

§ 3. Upper estimates

Let $1 \leq p < 2$. As we noted in Introduction, if φ is a real function on the circle $\mathbb{T}$ satisfying Lipschitz condition of order 1, then $\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T})} = O(|\lambda|^{1/p-1/2})$ and due to Leibenson–Kahane–Alpár estimate (2) we have $\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T})} \simeq |\lambda|^{1/p-1/2}$ for every nonlinear real function $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{T})$ (actually this holds even under assumption that $\varphi \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{T})$, see Corollary 2 for $m = 1$). Here we shall obtain similar results in the case of torus of an arbitrary dimension.

Recall the known smoothness condition that guarantees that a function belongs to the classes $A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)$, $1 \leq p < 2$, namely: if $f \in C^{\nu,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ and $\nu + \alpha > m(1/p - 1/2)$, then $f \in A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)$. It is known that this condition is sharp in the sense that for $\nu + \alpha = m(1/p - 1/2)$ the inclusion $C^{\nu,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^m) \subseteq A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)$ fails. [7]

We shall show that the following theorem holds.

Theorem 3. *Let* $1 \leq p < 2$ *and let* $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. *Let* $\varphi \in C^{\nu,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ *be a real function. Suppose that* $\nu + \alpha \geq 1$, $\nu + \alpha > m(1/p - 1/2)$. *Then*

$$\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)} = O(|\lambda|^{m(1/p-1/2)}), \qquad |\lambda| \to \infty, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Under assumption that $\nu + \alpha \geq 2$, we have $C^{\nu,\alpha} \subseteq C^{1,1}$, so, using Corollary 2 and Theorem 3, we see that the following theorem holds.

---

[7] In the one-dimensional case for $p = 1$ the corresponding results are due to Bernstein (see [12, Ch. VI, Theorem 3.1]), the generalization to the case $1 < p < 2$ was obtained by Szász (see [12, Ch. VI, Theorem 3.10]), in the multidimensional case the sufficiency of the indicated condition was obtained by Szász and Minakshisundaram [13] (see also remark of Bochner [14]). The sharpness of the indicated smoothness condition in the multidimensional case was established by Weinger [15].

Theorem 4. *Let* $1 \le p < 2$ *and let* $0 \le \alpha \le 1$. *Let* $\varphi \in C^{\nu,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ *be a real function with nondegenerate gradient. Suppose that* $\nu + \alpha \ge 2,\ \nu + \alpha > m(1/p - 1/2)$. *Then*

$$\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)} \simeq |\lambda|^{m(1/p-1/2)}, \qquad |\lambda| \to \infty, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In particular, if $\nu + \alpha \ge 2,\ \nu + \alpha > m/2$, *then* $\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{A(\mathbb{T}^m)} \simeq |\lambda|^{m/2}$.

Proof of Theorem 3. In the usual way we define the norm on the space $L^2(\mathbb{T}^m)$ and the norm on the space $C(\mathbb{T}^m)$ of continuous functions on $\mathbb{T}^m$:

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^m)} = \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^m} \int_{\mathbb{T}^m} |f(t)|^2 dt\right)^{1/2}, \qquad \|g\|_{C(\mathbb{T}^m)} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}^m} |g(t)|.$$

We define the norm on $C^{\nu,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ by

$$\|f\|_{C^{\nu,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^m)} = \max_{0 \le \gamma_1+\gamma_2+\ldots+\gamma_m \le \nu} \|D_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\ldots,\gamma_m} f\|_{C(\mathbb{T}^m)} +$$

$$+ \max_{\gamma_1+\gamma_2+\ldots+\gamma_m = \nu} \sup_{\delta > 0} \frac{1}{\delta^\alpha} \omega(D_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\ldots,\gamma_m} f, \delta),$$

where

$$D_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\ldots,\gamma_m} f(t) = \frac{\partial^{\gamma_1+\gamma_2+\ldots+\gamma_m} f}{\partial t_1^{\gamma_1} \partial t_2^{\gamma_2} \ldots \partial t_m^{\gamma_m}}, \qquad t = (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m).$$

It is easy to see that for $\nu = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ we have

$$\|fg\|_{C^{\nu,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^m)} \le c\|f\|_{C^{\nu,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^m)} \|g\|_{C^{\nu,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^m)},$$

where $c = c(m, \nu, \alpha) > 0$ is independent of f and g.

Using this relation it is easy to verify that if $\nu + \alpha \ge 1$, then for each real function $\varphi \in C^{\nu,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ we have the estimate

$$\|e^{i\lambda\varphi}\|_{C^{\nu,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^m)} \le c|\lambda|^{\nu+\alpha}, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad |\lambda| \ge 1,$$

where $c = c(\nu, \alpha, \varphi)$ is independent of λ. For $\nu = 1, 2, \ldots$ this can be verified by induction over ν with fixed α. For $\nu = 0$ the condition $\nu + \alpha \ge 1$ yields $\alpha = 1$ and the required estimate also holds.

Thus it is clear that the statement of the theorem is an immediate consequence of the following simple lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let* $f \in C^{\nu,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ *and let* $\nu + \alpha > m(1/p - 1/2),\ 1 \le p < 2$. *Then*

$$\|f\|_{A_p(\mathbb{T}^m)} \le c\|f\|^{\tau}_{C^{\nu,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^m)}\|f\|^{1-\tau}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^m)},$$

where

$$\tau = \frac{m(1/p - 1/2)}{\nu + \alpha}.$$

Proof. Let S^{m-1} denote the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^m$ centered at 0. Let $\delta > 0$ and let $\xi \in S^{m-1}$. For each $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ consider a function

$$\frac{\partial^\nu f}{\partial t_j^\nu}(t + \delta\xi) - \frac{\partial^\nu f}{\partial t_j^\nu}(t - \delta\xi), \qquad t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m) \in \mathbb{T}^m.$$

Writing the Parseval identity for this function we obtain

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^m}\int_{\mathbb{T}^m}\left|\frac{\partial^\nu f}{\partial t_j^\nu}(t+\delta\xi)-\frac{\partial^\nu f}{\partial t_j^\nu}(t-\delta\xi)\right|^2 dt = \sum_{k=(k_1,k_2,\dots,k_m)\in\mathbb{Z}^m} k_j^{2\nu}|\widehat{f}(k)|^2 4\sin^2(\delta k, \xi).$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^m} k_j^{2\nu}|\widehat{f}(k)|^2\sin^2(\delta k, \xi) \le \|f\|^2_{C^{\nu,\alpha}}\delta^{2\alpha}.$$

Summing over $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we have

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^m} |k|^{2\nu}|\widehat{f}(k)|^2\sin^2(\delta k, \xi) \le c\|f\|^2_{C^{\nu,\alpha}}\delta^{2\alpha},$$

where $c = c(\nu, m) > 0$.

Let $|k| \le 1/\delta$, then $|(\delta k, \xi)| \le 1$ whence $|\sin(\delta k, \xi)| \ge |(\delta k, \xi)|/2$ and we see that

$$\sum_{|k|\le 1/\delta} |k|^{2\nu}|\widehat{f}(k)|^2(\delta k, \xi)^2 \le c\|f\|^2_{C^{\nu,\alpha}}\delta^{2\alpha}.$$

Integrating this inequality over $\xi \in S^{m-1}$ (for $m = 1$ we just put $\xi = 1$) and taking into account the fact that for an arbitrary vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$\int_{S^{m-1}} (v, \xi)^2 d\xi = c_m|v|^2,$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{|k|\le 1/\delta} |k|^{2\nu} |\widehat{f}(k)|^2 \delta^2 |k|^2 \le c\|f\|^2_{C^{\nu,\alpha}} \delta^{2\alpha}.$$

Let $\delta = 2^{-n},\ n = 1, 2, \ldots$. We see that

$$\sum_{2^{n-1}\le|k|<2^n} |\widehat{f}(k)|^2 \le c\|f\|^2_{C^{\nu,\alpha}} 2^{-2n(\nu+\alpha)}, \qquad n = 1, 2, \ldots, \tag{10}$$

with constant $c > 0$ independent of n and f.

Using the Hölder inequality with $p^* = 2/p,\ 1/p^* + 1/q^* = 1$, we obtain from relation (10) that

$$\sum_{2^{n-1}\le|k|<2^n} |\widehat{f}(k)|^p \le \Bigg(\sum_{2^{n-1}\le|k|<2^n} |\widehat{f}(k)|^{pp^*}\Bigg)^{1/p^*} \Bigg(\sum_{2^{n-1}\le|k|<2^n} 1\Bigg)^{1/q^*}$$

$$\le c\|f\|^p_{C^{\nu,\alpha}} 2^{-pn(\nu+\alpha)} (2^{nm})^{1-p/2} = c\|f\|^p_{C^{\nu,\alpha}} 2^{-np(\nu+\alpha)(1-\tau)}.$$

Hence it is clear that for an arbitrary $B \ge 1$ we have

$$\sum_{|k|\ge B} |\widehat{f}(k)|^p \le c\|f\|^p_{C^{\nu,\alpha}} B^{-p(\nu+\alpha)(1-\tau)}. \tag{11}$$

At the same time it is obvious that for $B \ge 1$

$$\sum_{|k|<B} |\widehat{f}(k)|^p \le \Bigg(\sum_{|k|<B} |\widehat{f}(k)|^{pp^*}\Bigg)^{1/p^*} \Bigg(\sum_{|k|<B} 1\Bigg)^{1/q^*}$$

$$\le \|f\|^p_{L^2} c B^{m(1-p/2)} = c\|f\|^p_{L^2} B^{p(\nu+\alpha)\tau}. \tag{12}$$

It remains to add relations (11), (12) and put

$$B = \left(\frac{\|f\|_{C^{\nu,\alpha}}}{\|f\|_{L^2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\nu+\alpha}}.$$

The lemma is proved. Theorem 3 is proved. Theorem 4 follows.

References

1. A. Beurling, H. Helson, “Fourier–Stieltjes transforms with bounded powers”, *Math. Scand.*, **1** (1953), 120–126.
2. J.-P. Kahane, *Séries de Fourier absolument convergentes*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1970.
3. V. V. Lebedev, “Quantitative estimates in Beurling – Helson type theorems”, *Sbornik: Mathematics*, **201**:12, (2010), 1811–1836.
4. Z. L. Leibenson, “On the ring of functions with absolutely convergent Fourier series”, *Usp. Mat. Nauk.*, **9**:3(61) (1954), 157–162 (in Russian).
5. J.-P. Kahane, “Sur certaines classes de séries de Fourier absolument convergentes”, *J. de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, **35**:3 (1956), 249–259.
6. L. Alpár, “Sur une classe partiqulière de séries de Fourier à certaines puissances absolument convergentes”, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungarica*, **3** (1968), 279–286.
7. V. V. Lebedev, “Diffeomorphisms of the circle and the Beurling – Helson theorem”, *Functional analysis and its applications*, **36**:1 (2002), 25–29.
8. G. W. Hedstrom, “Norms of powers of absolutely convergent Fourier series in several variables”, *Michigan Math, J.*, **14**:4 (1967), 493-495.
9. M. V. Korobkov, “Properties of C^1 -smooth functions whose gradient range has topological dimension 1”, *Doklady Mathematics (Doklady Akademii Nauk)*, **81**:1 (2010), 11–13.
10. M. Plancherel, G. Pólya, “Fonctions entières et intégrales de Fourier multiples. II”, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **10**:2 (1937), 110-163.
11. E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1971.
12. A. Zigmund, *Trigonometric series*, vol. I, Cambrige Univ. Press, New York, 1959.

13. S. Minakshisundaram, O. Szász, “On absolute convergence of multiple Fourier series”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **61**:1 (1947), 36–53.

14. S. Bochner, “Reviews of ‘On absolute convergence of multiple Fourier series’ by Szász and Minakshisundaram”, *Math. Rev.*, **8** (1947), 376.

15. S. Weinger, “Special trigonometric series in k dimensions”, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **59** (1965).

V. V. LEBEDEV
Dept. of Mathematical Analysis
Moscow State Institute of
Electronics and Mathematics (Technical University)
E-mail address: *lebedevhome@gmail.com*